PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 29920-76298						
International application No. PCT/US04/38932	International filing date (day/month/year) 19 November 2004 (19.11.2004)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 20 November 2003 (20.11.2003)				
Applicant INDIANA UNIVERSITY RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY CORPORA						
This international search report consists of It is also accompanied 1. Basis of the Report a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, ur The international furnished to this Author b. With regard to any nucleotic certain claims were found 3. Unity of invention is lacking the text is approved as submit	of a total of sheets. by a copy of each prior art document cited international search was carried out on the banless otherwise indicated under this item. search was carried out on the basis of a transity (Rule 23.1(b)). de and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in unsearchable (See Box No. II) g (See Box No. III)	asis of the international application in the				
		·				
the text has been established, may, within one month from	according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority	as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant the report, submit comments to this Authority.				
as suggested by the a	uthority, because the applicant failed to sugge uthority, because this figure better characteri					

International application No.

Box No	o. I Nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence(s) (Continuation of item 1.b of the first sheet)
	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed tion, the international search was carried out on the basis of: type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
2.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
3. Additi	ional comments:
	·
•	·

International application No.

Box No. II	Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)
This internati	onal search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:
1.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2.	Claims Nos.: because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3.	Claims Nos.: because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).
Box No. III	Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)
	onal Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows: ontinuation Sheet .
	As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite
3.	payment of any additional fee. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
	No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.:
Remark on P	rotest The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.
	No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

International application No.

NEW	V ABSTRACT				
One aspect of the present invention is directed to a dual labeling strategy that enhances the mass spectrometry analysis of peptides, as demonstrated in Figure 2. In one embodiment a <i>de novo</i> sequencing method is provided that utilizes both guanidination of lysine residues in conjunction with amidination of the N-termini of peptides to be analyzed by mass spectrometry. This approach facilitates identification of N- and C-terminal fragment ions.					
·					
	·				
·					
	•				
	· · ·				

International application No.

A. CLAS	SSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER	•					
IPC(7) : G01N 24/00, 33/00							
US CL: 436/173, 86 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC							
	cumentation searched (classification system followed b	ov classification symbols)					
	36/173, 86	y classification symbols,					
Documentation	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched						
	ta base consulted during the international search (name ontinuation Sheet	e of data base and, where practicable, sear	ch terms used)				
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT						
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where a		Relevant to claim No.				
х	BEARDSLEY et al. "Quantitation using enhanced si proteomics", J. Proteome Research, 2003, v. 2, pp. 2002	gnal tags: a technique for comparative 15-21, published on-line 16 October	1, 4-6, 13-14, 16				
х	BRANCIA et al. "Improved matrix-assisted laser de	sorption/ionization mass spectrometric	1, 4-6, 13-14, 16				
	analysis of tryptic hydrolysates of proteins following	guanidination of lysine-containing					
Y	peptdes", Rapid Comm. Mass Spectrom., 2000, v. 1	4, pp. 2070-2073	2-3, 15				
x	US 2003/0054570 (QIU et al.) 20 March 2003 (20.0	3.2003), Abstract, page 1, [0006].	1, 4-6, 13-14, 16				
Y		7-12					
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent family annex.					
* S _I	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the inter date and not in conflict with the applica	national filing date or priority				
	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be	principle or theory underlying the inver	ntion				
•	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	"X" document of particular relevance; the c considered novel or cannot be consider when the document is taken alone	ed to involve an inventive step				
	which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination					
"O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	being obvious to a person skilled in the					
	published prior to the international filing date but later than the ate claimed	"&" document member of the same patent family					
	Date of the actual completion of the international search Date of mailing of the international search report 82 March 2005 (22 03 2005)						
22 Walch 2003 (22.03.2003)							
	illing address of the ISA/US I Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	2 MILLEN					
Con	nmissioner for Patents	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	ymy				
	. Box 1450 kandria, Virginia 22313-1450	Telephone No. (571) 272-1700	Far				
	. (703) 305-3230		`				

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT PCT/US04/38932
BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.
Group I, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a method for preparing derivatized peptides.
Group II, claim(s) 13-16, drawn to a set of modified tryptic peptides.
The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical feature, i.e. a peptide labeled at N-termini with an acetamidine or a propionamidine group, is known in the art (see Bearsley et al., J. Proteome Research, 2003), and therefore is not a special technical feature. This makes the restriction proper.
\cdot
Continuation of B. FIELDS SEARCHED Item 3: EAST, STN, Google:
peptide label acetamidine propionamidine MALDI MS
Form PCT/ISA/210 (extra sheet) (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATI	ONAL SEARC	HING AUTH	IORITY	DOCKE	TED			
BRADFORD G. ADDISON BARNES & THORNBURG LLP		FOR_	. Comment	1/ Response	- PC	T		
		BY		in		· .		
		DATE		12/05 WR	HTEN OPIN	ION OF T	HE	
INDIANAP	OLIS, IN 402	04	CHE'D		INTERNATION	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			DATE.			(PCT Rule	43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)	0	8 APR	2005
Applicant's	or agent's file r	eference	-		FOR FURTHER	ACTION		2000
29920-7629	98					See paragraph 2	! below	
	l application No).	Internatio	nal filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/ye	ar)
PCT/US04/			19 Noven	nber 2004 (19.	11.2004)	20 November	2003 (20.11.	2003)
Internationa	l Patent Classifi	cation (IPC)	or both nati	ional classifica	tion and IPC			
IPC(7): G01	1N 24/00, 33/00	and US Cl.:	436/173, 8	36				
Applicant					•			
INDIANA I	UNIVERSITY I	RESEARCH	AND TEC	HNOLOGY C	ORPORA			
1. This op	oinion contains i	ndications rel	ating to the	following iten	ns:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion					
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention						
	Box No. V	ox No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
]]	Box No. VI	No. VI Certain documents cited						
]]	Box No. VII	. VII Certain defects in the international application						
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application							
2. FURT	HER ACTIO	N						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.								
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.								
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.								
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.								
Name and m	ailing address o	f the ISA/ US	S		Authorized officer	,)		(100
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents				Yelena G. Gakh,	Ph.D.	Wota	Telech	
P.C	D. Box 1450					0.		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Tele				Telephone No. (5	71) 272-1700	•	rui	

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

International application No.

BOX NO.	. 1 Basis of this opinion
1. With re	egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With r	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the discountion, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a.	type of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.	format of material
	in written format
ĺ	in computer readable form
c.	time of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
[furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additio	onal comments:
	·

International application No.

Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest not paid additional fees
2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.
3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
complied with
not complied with for the following reasons:
See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210)
•
<u> </u>
4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts.
the parts relating to claims Nos.

International application No. PCT/US04/38932

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims N	NONE YES			
·	Claims 1-	1-16 NO			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims N	NONE YES			
	Claims 1-	1-16 NO			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-	I-16 YES			
	Claims N	NONE NO			
•					

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1, 4-6, 13-14 and 16 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Beardsley et al. (J. Proteome Research, 2003, published on-line October 16, 2002). Beardsley teaches a method for preparing derivatized peptides to enhance mass spectral analysis, MALDI-TOF in particular, comprising dividing a composition comprising peptides into two pools, and labeling one pool with S-methyl thioacetamidine and another pool with S-methyl thiopropioamidine.

Claims 2-3 and 15 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Beardsley in view of Brancia et al. (Rapid Comm. Mass Spectr., 2000). Beardsley does not specifically teach blocking lysine residues of the peptide with guanidination using S-methylisothiourea or O-methylisourea, although he indicates that such blocking was performed by others and that it yielded enhanced MALDI-TOF signals. Brancia teaches "improved matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometricanalysis of tryptic hydrolysates of proteins following guanidination of lysine-containing peptides" (Title). It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art to combine two chemically compatible methods providing enhanced MALDI-TOF spectra taught by Beardsley and Brancia in order to get combined advantageous of both methods.

Claims 7-12 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Beardsley in view of Qiu et al. (US 2003/0054570). Beardsley does not specifically teach isotope substitution of the labeling amidine group. Qui teaches "isotope-coded ionization enhancement reagents (ICIER)", which upon reaction with proteins give a pool of isotopically labeled proteins; along with isotopically unlabeled proteins this allows comparing MALDI-TOF spectra of two pools. It would have been obvious for any person of ordinary skill in the art to apply Qiu's method involving ICIER to amidine labeling groups disclosed by Beardsley, because this provides the benefits of both methods, i.e. enhancement of MALDI spectra by utilizing amidine labeling disclosed by Beardsley and ICIER enhancement disclosed by Qiu.

Claims 1-16 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

International application No.

PCT/US04/38932

Box No.	vin	Certain	observations	on the	international	application
DUA 11U.	A 111	Cutain	ODSCI VALIDID	OH the	International	application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

Claims 11 and 12 are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 6 because claims 11 and 12 are indefinite for the following reason(s): claim 11 recites the limitation "said guanidination", which lacks antecedent basis, as no guanidination is recited in parent claim 10. The same problem of a wrong dependency exists for claim 12.